

FOR MYSORE STATE

Rural welfare. (1953) Department.

1975
SERIES.

G.O. No.

11/11

Dated

4.9.53

Dated
bmi

[Despatch Abstract.]

A.R. — S Kanara Dt. — Kumbha firka — Restoration of —
a damaged stone wall in Yerrawar village —
Execution under R.W. Scheme — Revised Estimate
Submitted.

pl. Ine & direct
C 55950/13

R.H.

SMB: R.R. Intensive work in selected pithas and centres - Kumbha pitha. S. Kanara Dt. - Restoration of a damaged stone wall in Ujarnavar village. Execution under the P.N. Scheme. Revised estimate - approved.

(P)

PIC

Ry: G.O. No. 192 F.D. 4.13.3.50
from the B.O. of J. Kanara Ry B.O. 13437/53
4.27.2.53

G.O. No. 1111

R.N. 4.9.53

PSY (P)

R' mple

In modification of the orders issued in the go cited, sanction is accorded to the execution of the work of restoring the damaged stone wall near the Shiryas river margin in Ujarnavar village of Kumbha pitha, S. Kanara Dt. under the R.N. Scheme, at an estimated cost not exceeding Rs. 6700/- (Rupees six thousand and seven hundred) only.

para 5 in PIC

In the circumstances reported by the B.O. in his letter cited the Govt. approve, as a special case the collection of a contribution of Rs. 1250/- only instead of the 1/4th contribution on the estimated cost of the work as prescribed in Memo No. 1216 F.D. 49-24.9.5-49. for the work in question the expenditure on Govt. account in respect of the above work should not exceed Rs. 5450/- (Rupees five thousand and four hundred and fifty) only. It is provided that

The expre. should be debited to "25. St. Adm. - St. Adm. and Misc. - Govt. Sec. II P.N.R. - Schemes included in the five year plan - B.M. Hon. G.H.F. - Expre. from the fund for V.R. & H.U. - to office contingencies and other charges - Misc.

(B)

The revised estimate and the comparative statement received from the B.O. in the cited are submitted herewith.

P.T.O.

92

7/7/53

Returned herewith

6.8.53

6.8.53

The following J. Kanak
with estimates return

Registered post

copy to A.G. by name

copy to the D.A.R.

copy to R.N. 22

copy to P.C. 22

copy to P.C. 22

copy to P.C. 22

copy to P.C. 22

O.N. The draft submitted on the reverse
to the letter from the B. of J. Kanak on P.C. 6
for approval. P.W. and J. may see before

6.8.53


6.8.53

7.8.53

P.W.
Jin

R.N. 22-55950 R.N. 22-1
7.8.53

The draft order ^{on pp. 1-2} ~~above~~
may be perused and the
file passed on to Finance.



12/8/53

12.8.53

Fin.

12.8.53


The draft order on pp. 1-2 ^{in (up)} ~~above~~ may
be accepted. (The increase of Rs 1700 in the cost
of the work is stated to be due to high tender rates
and extra quantity of work found necessary during
execution.)

27.8.53


11.8.53

R.W.

Done
to
3/8/53



67216/E.C/53



Copy of No. 1909/M dated 23.7.51 from the Executive Engineer, 3
S.Kanara Division, Mangalore to the Rural Welfare Officer,
Kumbla.

Restoring the damaa damaged River wall in Ujar-ulvar village. | S

I inspected the work on 12.7.51. The work has been completed very satisfactorily.

Due to the high tendered rates and also due to the necessity of taking the foundations deeper at certain eroded portions the work could not be completed to the sanctioned height for the full length with in the sanctioned estimate amount.

For some length in the northern portion of the wall is about 2'-9" below the sanctioned height. A revised estimate is sent for raising the low portions and it will be done if approved.

During the inspection I noticed that a baling pit has been dug very close to the wall at its southern extremity on the downstream side. In addition, on the upstream side earth is being removed from the very toe of the wall to level up the land for cultivation. These excavations are very dangerous and will surely endanger the stability of the wall. I therefore request you to be so good as to instruct the owners of these lands:-

1) not to put any baling pits within 20 feet of the wall and (2) to keep a bern at least 5 feet wide both in front and rear of the wall for the full length in order to ensure the stability of the wall.

(True copy)

Copy of no.280 Rev. dated 4-7-52 Executive Engineer South
Kannara Division Mangalore-3 to the Collector of South Kannara.

Restoring the floods damaged, rough stone wall in Ujarulvar village
Kasargod Taluk

Ref:-Correspondence resting with my No.1909 M dated 28.7.51 addressed
to Rural Welfare Officer, Kumbla Firka and copy to you.

X | In continuation of my No.cited above I forward herewith a
revised estimate amounting to Rs.6700/- for your countersignature
and for obtaining the revised administrative approval of Government
Revision of the estimate was found essential due to high tender
rates of contractor and excess quantity found during execution.
No additional item of work has been included in the revised estimate,
but as per the later instructions of Chief Engineer (General) centre
charges at 14% of work has been included.

In the circumstances explained above, ^{the} revised estimate may
be please be returned to me early after compliance.

/True copy/


Ref. B7. 13437/53

S. Kanara Collector's office,
Mangalore dated 27.7.1953

From

Sri A. Mahalingam Chettiar, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara,
Mangalore.

55950



The Secretary to Government,
Rural Welfare Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

RURAL RECONSTRUCTION: Intensive work in selected firkas and centres - Kumbala firka - South Kanara Dt. Restoration of a damaged stone wall in Ujarulvar village - Execution under R.W. Scheme - Revised estimate submitted for approval

G.O. Ms. No. 192. Firka Dev. Department. dated 13.3.1950.

In the G.O. cited sanction was accorded to the execution of the work of restoring the damaged stone wall near the Shiriya Reiver Margin in Ujarulvar village of Kumbala Firka, South Kanara Dt. under the Firka Dev. Scheme, at an estimated cost not exceeding Rs. 5,000/-. The Executive Engineer P.W.D. who got work executed reports that the work did cost higher than the sanctioned amount to the tune of Rs. 1700/- in actual execution and that the estimate may be countersigned and returned to him after obtaining administrative approval of the Government.

2/- A copy of the revised estimate and comparative statement of differences received from the Executive Engineer P.W.D. are enclosed. Copies of his letters No. 280. Rev. dated 4.4.52 and No. 1909. M. dated 23.7.1952 and No. 1909. M. dated 23.7.1951 explaining the necessity for incurring additional expenditure over and above the sanctioned amount are also enclosed.

3/- The contribution of Rs. 1250/- ordered to be collected in para 2 of the G.O. cited, has been collected and credited to the Treasury already.

4/- In the circumstances stated by the Executive Engineer, P.W.D. South Kanara, the Government may be pleased to accord administrative approval for the revised estimate. The revised estimate and the comparative statements may be returned to me when done with.

5/- The Rural Welfare Officer, Mangalore who was asked to collect contribution of the amount spent in excess of the sanctioned estimate reports that repeated attempts made for the purpose of collecting further contribution were unsuccessful and that there is no likelihood of collecting any contribution at all from the villagers. It is also reported that the Dy. Director of R.W. (South) who inspected the work had remarked that further contributions may be got waived. I agree with the Rural Welfare Officer, Mangalore and consider that there is no scope for collection of further contribution I therefore recommend that the Government may be pleased to waive further contributions in this case.

Yours faithfully

Shanmugam

For Collector

Copy to the Executive Engineer Public Works Department, S.K. Mangalore with reference to No. 314.AQ. dated 10.7.53.
Copy to the Rural Welfare Officer Mangalore with reference to his reference 466/53. dated 13.7.1953.
mv 28.2.

22.7